

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/812,706	<b>Applicant(s)</b> WOLLENWEBER, GARY C.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Ehud Gartenberg	<b>Art Unit</b> 3746	

**All Participants:**

(1) Ehud Gartenberg.

(2) Robert Reeser.

**Status of Application: Allowed**

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 8 June 2005

**Time:** PM

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

NA

**Claims discussed:**

1, 6, 14

**Prior art documents discussed:**

NA

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Agreement was reached on the amendment filed 6/8/2005 that defined the claimed invention over what was agreed to be prior art, because the original claims read also on conventional thrust reversers (a channel can also have an open contour, and the claims read on the inner concavity of a thrust reverser door)..